

ρ Polarization and ‘Model Independent’ Extraction of $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cd}|$ from $D \rightarrow \rho\ell\nu$ and $B \rightarrow \rho\ell\nu$

W. Roberts

Department of Physics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, USA

and

Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility

12000 Jefferson Avenue, Newport News, VA 23606, USA.

Abstract

We briefly discuss the predictions of the heavy quark effective theory for the semileptonic decays of a heavy pseudoscalar to a light one, or to a light vector meson. We point out that measurement of combinations of differential helicity decay rates at Cleo-c and the B factories can provide a model independent means of extracting the ratio $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cd}|$. We briefly discuss the corrections to this prediction.

I. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Extraction of the CKM matrix element V_{ub} is one of the crucial ingredients needed to determine the source of CP violation. A number of methods have been suggested for extraction of this matrix element, both from inclusive and exclusive decays. In this note, we point out that, modulo $1/m_c$, $1/m_b$ and isospin corrections, the ratio $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cd}|$ can be determined using the predictions of the heavy quark effective theory (HQET), and the measurement of some polarization observables in the decays $D \rightarrow \rho\ell\nu$ and $B \rightarrow \rho\ell\nu$, in a model independent way.

Among the many measurements proposed for the proposed Cleo-c are precision studies of the semileptonic decays $D \rightarrow \pi\ell\nu$ and $D \rightarrow \rho\ell\nu$: it is expected that the ratio $|V_{cd}|/|V_{cs}|$ can be measured to 1.3%. In particular, there should be ample statistics to study the polarization of the vector mesons produced in the latter reaction. If this is the case, and if similar measurements can be done at the B factories, we propose measurements that can provide the advertised ratio of CKM matrix elements with very small theoretical errors.

In the next section we briefly discuss the predictions of HQET for these heavy $\rightarrow$ light transitions, at leading order. Section III discusses a number of experimental observables

from these processes, and their dependence on the form factors describing these semileptonic decays. In that section, we also present the observables that allow extraction of the advertised ratio. In section IV we present our conclusions.

II. HQET AND FORM FACTORS

The hadronic matrix elements for the decays $D \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$ and $D \rightarrow \rho \ell \nu$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \pi(p') | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu c | D(p) \rangle &= f_+^{D\pi} (p + p')_\mu + f_-^{D\pi} (p - p')_\mu, \\ \langle \pi(p') | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 c | D(p) \rangle &= 0, \\ \langle \rho(p', \epsilon) | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu c | D(p) \rangle &= i g^{D\rho} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{*\nu} (p + p')^\alpha (p - p')^\beta, \\ \langle \rho(p', \epsilon) | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 c | D(p) \rangle &= f^{D\rho} \epsilon_\mu^* + a_+^{D\rho} \epsilon^* \cdot p (p + p')_\mu + a_-^{D\rho} \epsilon^* \cdot p (p - p')_\mu,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where the light quark q can be either u or d . These decays are thus described in terms of six independent, *a priori* unknown form factors. The terms in f_- and a_- are unimportant when the lepton mass is ignored, since

$$(p - p')_\mu \bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_\ell = (k_\nu + k_\ell)_\mu \bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_\ell = m_\ell \bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_\ell.\tag{2}$$

For the transitions from B mesons, an analogous set of matrix elements and form factors are necessary.

Using the Dirac matrix representation of heavy mesons, we may treat heavy-to-light transitions using the same trace formalism that has been applied to heavy-to-heavy transitions [1,2]. In the effective theory, a heavy pseudoscalar meson (D or B), denoted P , traveling with velocity v is represented as [1,2]

$$|\mathcal{P}(v)\rangle = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 + \not{v}}{2} \gamma_5 \equiv \mathcal{M}_P(v),\tag{3}$$

These states are normalized so that

$$\langle \mathcal{P}(v') | \mathcal{P}(v) \rangle = 2v_0 \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}').\tag{4}$$

The states of QCD and HQET are therefore related by

$$|P(v)\rangle = \sqrt{m_P} |\mathcal{P}(v)\rangle,\tag{5}$$

where m_P is the mass of the pseudoscalar meson.

For the semileptonic transitions between such a heavy meson (P meson) and a light pseudoscalar (π), the matrix element of interest is [3]

$$\langle \pi(p) | \bar{q} \Gamma h_v^{(Q)} | P(v) \rangle = \text{Tr} [\gamma_5 (\xi_1 + \not{p} \xi_2) \gamma_\mu \mathcal{M}_P(v)],\tag{6}$$

where $h_v^{(Q)}$ is the heavy quark in the effective theory, and q denotes a light quark (u or d). For the transition to a light vector (ρ), the matrix elements are written

$$\langle \rho(p, \varepsilon) | \bar{q} \Gamma h_v^{(Q)} | \mathcal{P}(v) \rangle = \text{Tr} [\{ (\xi_3 + \not{p} \xi_4) \varepsilon^* \cdot v + \not{\varepsilon}^* (\xi_5 + \not{p} \xi_6) \} \Gamma \mathcal{M}_P(v)], \quad (7)$$

where Γ denotes either γ_μ or $\gamma_\mu \gamma_5$.

The form factors ξ_i are independent of the mass of the heavy quark, and are therefore universal functions. Thus, they are valid for $D \rightarrow \pi(\rho)$ decays, as well as for $B \rightarrow \pi(\rho)$ decays. This independence of the quark mass allows us to deduce, in a relatively straightforward manner, the scaling behavior of the usual form factors that describe these transitions [4]. More precisely, they allow us to relate the form factors for D transitions to those for B transitions. The relationships are

$$\begin{aligned} f_+^B(v \cdot p) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_B}{m_D} \right)^{1/2} \left[f_+^D(v \cdot p) \left(1 + \frac{m_D}{m_B} \right) + f_-^D(v \cdot p) \left(\frac{m_D}{m_B} - 1 \right) \right], \\ f_-^B(v \cdot p) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_B}{m_D} \right)^{1/2} \left[f_-^D(v \cdot p) \left(1 + \frac{m_D}{m_B} \right) + f_+^D(v \cdot p) \left(\frac{m_D}{m_B} - 1 \right) \right], \\ f^B(v \cdot p) &= \left(\frac{m_B}{m_D} \right)^{1/2} f^D(v \cdot p), \\ g^B(v \cdot p) &= \left(\frac{m_D}{m_B} \right)^{1/2} g^D(v \cdot p), \\ a_+^B(v \cdot p) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_D}{m_B} \right)^{1/2} \left[a_+^D(v \cdot p) \left(1 + \frac{m_D}{m_B} \right) + a_-^D(v \cdot p) \left(\frac{m_D}{m_B} - 1 \right) \right], \\ a_-^B(v \cdot p) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_D}{m_B} \right)^{1/2} \left[a_-^D(v \cdot p) \left(1 + \frac{m_D}{m_B} \right) + a_+^D(v \cdot p) \left(\frac{m_D}{m_B} - 1 \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where f_+^D is the form factor appropriate to the $D \rightarrow \pi$ transition, while f_+^B is the form factor appropriate to the $B \rightarrow \pi$ transition, and quantities on the left-hand-sides of eqns. (8) are evaluated at the same values of $v \cdot p$ as those on the right-hand-sides. Omitted from each of eqn. (8) is a QCD scaling factor.

In the limit of a heavy b quark, the full current of QCD is replaced by [6]

$$\bar{q} \Gamma b \rightarrow \bar{q} \Gamma h_v^{(b)} \left[\frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\alpha_s(\mu)} \right]^{-\frac{6}{25}}. \quad (9)$$

This arises from integrating out the b quark, and matching the resulting effective theory onto full QCD at the scale m_b , at one loop level. At the scale m_c , we must also integrate out the c quark, but there is also the effect due to running between m_b and m_c . The net effect of this is that the form factors ξ_i appropriate to the $b \rightarrow u$ transitions are related to those for the $c \rightarrow d$ transitions by

$$\xi_i^{b \rightarrow u} = \xi_i^{c \rightarrow d} \left[\frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\alpha_s(m_c)} \right]^{-\frac{6}{25}}. \quad (10)$$

III. OBSERVABLES

In the differential decay rate of $D(B) \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$, terms that depend on the form factor f_- are proportional to the mass of the lepton, and are thus very difficult to extract from experiment. Detecting the polarization of the charged lepton offers the only possibility of extracting this form factor, but this is apparently a very remote prospect with muons or electrons. Because of the predicted mixing of f_+ and f_- in HQET, it becomes very difficult to say anything about $B \rightarrow \pi$ observables based on information extracted from the corresponding $D \rightarrow \pi$ observables. Assumptions about the form of f_- can be and have been made, but this introduces some model dependence into any information extracted.

For the decay to the ρ , helicity amplitudes $H_\pm$ and H_0 can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} H_\pm(q^2) &= f(q^2) \pm m_P k_\rho g(q^2), \\ H_0(q^2) &= \frac{1}{2m_\rho \sqrt{q^2}} \left[(m_P^2 - m_\rho^2 - q^2) f(q^2) + 4m_P^2 k_\rho^2 a_+(q^2) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where k_ρ is the momentum of the daughter ρ in the rest frame of the parent pseudoscalar. In terms of these, the differential decay rate is written [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_\ell d\cos\theta_\rho d\chi} &= \frac{3G_F^2 |V_{qQ}|^2 k_\rho q^2}{8(4\pi)^4 m_P^2} \\ &\times \left\{ \left[(1 + \eta \cos\theta_\ell)^2 |H_+(q^2)|^2 + (1 - \eta \cos\theta_\ell)^2 |H_-(q^2)|^2 \right] \sin^2\theta_\rho \right. \\ &+ 4 \sin^2\theta_\ell \cos^2\theta_\rho |H_0(q^2)|^2 - 2 \sin^2\theta_\ell \sin^2\theta_\rho \cos 2\chi H_+(q^2) H_-(q^2) \\ &+ 4\eta \sin\theta_\ell \sin\theta_\rho \cos\theta_\rho \cos\chi H_0(q^2) \\ &\left. \times \left[(1 - \eta \cos\theta_\ell) H_-(q^2) - (1 + \eta \cos\theta_\ell) H_+(q^2) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\eta = +1$ for B decays, and -1 for D decays. The angles θ_ℓ , θ_ρ and χ are explained in the figure.

With sufficient statistics in both B and D decays, this differential decay rate can provide means of extracting the ratio $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cd}|$. The differential decay rates into specific helicity states of the ρ can be written as

$$\frac{d\Gamma_i}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{qQ}|^2}{96\pi^3} k_\rho \frac{q^2}{m_P^2} |H_i(q^2)|^2. \quad (13)$$

Much of the difficulty of saying anything about the total rate in $B \rightarrow \rho \ell \nu$, based on measurements of $D \rightarrow \rho \ell \nu$, remains because of the mixing of a_+ and a_- . As with the decays to pions, a_- is, for the most part, only accessible through measurement of the polarization of the charged lepton. However, decays to transversely polarized ρ mesons are independent of this form factor, depending only on f and g .

If $d\Gamma_\pm^D/dq^2$ can be measured or extracted at Cleo-c, and $d\Gamma_\pm^B/dq^2$ at B factories, the ratio of these two differential decay rates, at the same kinematic point $v \cdot p$ ($= E_\rho$ in the rest

frame of the parent hadron), depends only on known or measurable kinematic quantities, as the form factor dependence drops out at leading order in HQET. Actually, this is not quite true. This ratio will depend on the form factor ratio r defined as

$$r(v \cdot p) = \frac{g^D(v \cdot p)}{f^D(v \cdot p)}, \quad (14)$$

but this ratio should be extractable from other observables in the $D \rightarrow \rho \ell \nu$ decay. More explicitly,

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{\pm}^B/dq^2}{d\Gamma_{\pm}^D/dq^2} = \frac{|V_{ub}|^2 q_B^2 m_D^2 \frac{m_B}{m_D} + m_B m_D (k_\rho^B)^2 r^2(v \cdot p) \pm 2m_B k_\rho^B r(v \cdot p)}{|V_{cd}|^2 q_D^2 m_B^2 \frac{m_B}{m_D} + m_B m_D (k_\rho^D)^2 r^2(v \cdot p) \pm 2m_D k_\rho^D r(v \cdot p)}. \quad (15)$$

Since the numerator and denominator of this ratio are evaluated at the same value of E_ρ , $k_\rho^D = k_\rho^B$. In the expression above,

$$q_B^2 = m_B^2 + m_\rho^2 - 2m_B v \cdot p, \quad q_D^2 = m_D^2 + m_\rho^2 - 2m_D v \cdot p. \quad (16)$$

The combination $d\Gamma_+/dq^2 + d\Gamma_-/dq^2$ is more easily accessible in these experiments (as the term proportional to $(1 + \cos^2 \theta_\ell) \sin^2 \theta_\rho$ in the expression for the decay rate). The ratio of this quantity for B decays to that for D decays is

$$\frac{d\Gamma_+^B/dq^2 + d\Gamma_-^B/dq^2}{d\Gamma_+^D/dq^2 + d\Gamma_-^D/dq^2} = \frac{|V_{ub}|^2 q_B^2 m_D^2 \frac{m_B}{m_D} + m_B m_D (k_\rho^B)^2 r^2(v \cdot p)}{|V_{cd}|^2 q_D^2 m_B^2 \frac{m_B}{m_D} + m_B m_D (k_\rho^D)^2 r^2(v \cdot p)}. \quad (17)$$

In addition, the differential rate $d\Gamma_{+-}/dq^2$, proportional to $H_+(q^2)H_-(q^2)$ may also be accessible (as the term proportional to $\cos 2\chi$ in the expression for the decay rate). If this is measured in B and D decays, the ratio is

$$\frac{d\Gamma_{+-}^B/dq^2}{d\Gamma_{+-}^D/dq^2} = \frac{|V_{ub}|^2 q_B^2 m_D^2 \frac{m_B}{m_D} - m_B m_D (k_\rho^B)^2 r^2(v \cdot p)}{|V_{cd}|^2 q_D^2 m_B^2 \frac{m_B}{m_D} - m_B m_D (k_\rho^D)^2 r^2(v \cdot p)}. \quad (18)$$

This ratio again depends only on known or measurable kinematic quantities, and the form factor ratio $r(v \cdot p)$.

Far more intriguing is the ratio of the difference of these differential helicity decay rates, related to the differential lepton forward-backward asymmetry, and proportional to $\cos \theta_\ell \sin^2 \theta_\rho$. This ratio takes the form

$$\frac{d\Gamma_+^B/dq^2 - d\Gamma_-^B/dq^2}{d\Gamma_+^D/dq^2 - d\Gamma_-^D/dq^2} = -\frac{|V_{ub}|^2 q_B^2 m_D}{|V_{cd}|^2 q_D^2 m_B}, \quad (19)$$

where a factor of k_ρ^B/k_ρ^D has been set to unity, as explained above. Inclusion of the lowest order radiative corrections means that the ratios above must be multiplied by the factor $\left[\frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\alpha_s(m_c)}\right]^{-\frac{12}{25}}$.

At leading order, the last ratio of observables is completely independent of any form factor, and so should provide a very good means of extracting the ratio $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cd}|$.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

We have suggested three measurements that can allow the extraction of $|V_{ub}|/|V_{cd}|$ in an absolutely model independent manner. However, our results are subject to a number of corrections, which we discuss briefly here.

One correction that must be taken into account is isospin breaking. For the D mesons, the possible decay modes are $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ and $D^+ \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$, while the corresponding B decay modes are $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^+ \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$ and $B^- \rightarrow \rho^0 \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell$. The predictions given in the previous section implicitly assume that the light component of the heavy meson is the same for both the D and B decays. This means that comparisons can be made between the decays of the D^0 and those of the B^- , or between the decays of the D^+ and those of the $\bar{B}^0$. In each of these comparisons, the daughter ρ is different, so some assumption of isospin invariance among the form factors has to be made. Departures from this invariance can be expected to be small. There is also an implicit assumption of isospin invariance in the discussion of the radiative factor of $\left[\frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\alpha_s(m_c)}\right]^{-\frac{12}{25}}$.

By far the larger corrections are expected to come from the $1/m_c$ and $1/m_b$ contributions to the matrix elements of interest. For decays to pions, the $1/m$ corrections require the introduction of eight new universal form factors. The number of new form factors required for the decays to ρ mesons will be twice that number. For the decays to pions, Burdman and collaborators [7] have used chiral symmetry arguments to obtain estimates of the normalizations of some of the new form factors at the non-recoil point, $v \cdot p = m_\pi$. It is not clear that analogous estimates can be obtained for the $D \rightarrow \rho$ and $B \rightarrow \rho$ form factors.

The very nice result obtained in the previous section will undoubtedly be spoiled by $1/m$ corrections. Although there is no *a priori* reason to believe it, one can hope that such corrections are small. It is possible that, in the ratio of differential decay rates, this turns out to be the case. This, however, is speculation. Nevertheless, perhaps the measurements suggested are of sufficient interest for the $1/m$ corrections to be studied in detail in the near future.

The author thanks J. L. Goity for reading the manuscript, and for discussions. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through grant # PHY-9457892. This work was also supported by the Department of Energy through contract DE-AC05-84ER40150, under which the Southeastern Universities Research Association (SURA) operates the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (TJNAF), and through contract DE-FG05-94ER40832.

REFERENCES

- [1] See for example, H. Georgi, in Proceedings of TASI-91, A. J. Buras and M. Lindner, eds.; B. Grinstein in TASI-94 (1994); W. Roberts, in *Physics In Collision 14*, S. Keller and H. D. Wahl, eds.
- [2] A. Falk, Nucl. Phys. **B378** (1992) 79.
- [3] W. Roberts and F. Ledroit, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 3643 (1996).
- [4] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. **B348** 276 (1991).
- [5] See, for example, the short review by R. J. Morrison and J. D. Richman on page 1565 of L. Montanet *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **50**, 1173 (1994).
- [6] M. A. Shifman and M. B. Voloshin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **45** (1987) 292; H. D. Politzer and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. **B206** (1988) 681; H. D. Politzer and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. **B208** (1988) 504; E. Eichten and B. Hill, Phys. Lett. **B234** (1990) 511.
- [7] G. Burdman, Z. Ligeti, M. Neubert and Y. Nir, Phys. Rev. D **49** 2331 (1994)

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The angles of eqn. (12). θ_ℓ and θ_ρ are defined in the rest frames of the lepton pair and the ρ , respectively. χ is the angle between the lepton plane and the hadron plane.

